Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

Internal application No.
T/JP2004/000178

4 67 13					
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl ⁷ B65D43/22, 83/08					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
	DS SEARCHED		•		
Minimum o	documentation searched (classification system followed . C1 ⁷ B65D39/00-55/16, 83/08, A	d by classification symbols) 145D33/00, H05K5/03			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2004 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2004 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2004					
Electronic	data base consulted during the international search (nar	me of data base and, where practicable, sea	arch terms used)		
C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X Y A	& JP 2001-72108 A & JE		18 19 1		
Y	JP 2001-197929 A (Kanebo, Lt 24 July, 2001 (24.07.01), Column 3, lines 26 to 30; Fig (Family: none)		19		
A	US 5370081 A (Richard A. GOF 06 December, 1994 (06.12.94) Column 4, lines 3 to 17; Fig (Family: none)	,	1		
× Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 20 April, 2004 (20.04.04)			
	ailing address of the ISA/ nese Patent Office	Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

C (Continua	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
A	US 6367639 B1 (Bob MAR), 09 April, 2002 (09.04.02), Column 7, line 30 to column 8, line 23; Fig. 8 (Family: none)	1
	CD-ROM of the specification and drawings annexed the request of Japanese Utility Model Application No 48417/1993 (Laid-open No. 17748/1995) (Aiwa Co., Ltd.), 31 March, 1995 (31.03.95), Page 9, lines 1 to 23; Fig. 1 (Family: none)	0 1
Α .	JP 9-323748 A (Kozo SAITO), 16 December, 1997 (16.12.97), Column 3, lines 34 to 41; Fig. 1 (Family: none)	17
	·	
-		
		·



Box 1 O	bservations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This intern	national search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. 🔲 0	Claims Nos.:
b	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
İ	
	Claims Nos.:
. bo	ecause they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an xtent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
	wont that no mountagen international boards can be carried out, specifically.
3. 🔲 C	Claims Nos.:
be	ecause they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Roy II O	bservations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
	ational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
'	•
A COM EP 113	mmon matter pertaining to Claims 1-17, 18, and 19 is disclosed in Document 38608 A1 (UNI-CHARM CORP.), 04 October, 2001 (04.10.01), column 3, line
9 to c	column 5, line 27. Therefore, it is not a special technical feature in
the me	eaning of the second sentence of PCT Rule 13.2.
of inv	dingly, Claims 1-17, 18, and 19 do not fulfill the requirement of unity vention.
1 As	s all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aims.
2. 🗙 As	s all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment
	s an searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment any additional fee.
	s only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers
oni	ly those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No	required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is
resi	stricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on I	Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.
	·